



CORE FUNCTION	EFFECTIVE PRACTICE	INDICATOR
School Leadership and Decision Making	Establish a team structure with specific duties and time for instructional planning - Leadership Team	The school's Leadership Team regularly looks at school performance data and aggregate classroom observation data and uses that data to make decisions about school improvement and professional development needs. (45)

Explanation: Effective leadership teams regularly examine student performance and aggregate classroom observation data to make decisions about professional development within a cycle of continuous improvement. Student performance data should also be disaggregated for various groups to gauge where resources and professional development should be targeted. Leadership Team members may elect to conduct classroom walk-throughs that are separate from those conducted within formal evaluation to help teachers feel at ease. Sharing both student-learning and aggregate classroom observation data with staff can help the Leadership Team communicate the importance of data based decision making and build staff consensus for targeted professional development.

Questions: *Does your Leadership Team consider both disaggregated student outcome data and data on patterns of professional practice to determine professional development needs and plan appropriate professional development? Are multiple and varied data sources considered? Does the Leadership Team conduct non-evaluative classroom walk-throughs to gather data on classroom instruction? How does the Leadership Team assess the impact of professional development within a cycle of continuous improvement? How are data shared with all staff and within professional learning communities to build a culture of data-driven decision-making and support for targeted professional development?*

Research has consistently demonstrated that a collaborative school culture, with educators working together in teams, is linked to higher levels of student achievement (DuFour, 2011; Hitt & Tucker, 2016). Research shows that when principals work with a team of teachers, forming school-based leadership teams, the speed at which improvement efforts occur is increased, and reform is more likely to be sustained (Edwards & Gammell, 2016; Pedersen, Yager, & Yager, 2010). Further, school leadership models are more effective when they distribute responsibilities to a team, rather than promoting unilateral decisions and actions (Hanover Research, 2013; Heck & Hallinger, 2010; The Wallace Foundation, 2013). Such a distributed system allows individuals to contribute in their areas of particular strength or interest (Institute for Educational Leadership, 2001). A major task of the school's leadership team is to regularly analyze both school performance and classroom observation data to determine how the school needs to improve and what additional training is needed for teachers and staff.

How can the Leadership Team effectively use data to make decisions about school improvement and professional development needs?

Leadership teams set the course for school improvement by taking responsibility for activating the school improvement plan and coordinating faculty efforts to reach its goals (Munger & von Frank, 2010; von Frank, 2011). These responsibilities include developing a vision, setting goals, designing strategies, and monitoring the improvement process (Edwards & Gammell, 2016). The leadership team is positioned to take a broad view of the data available to them and to make decisions on the focus and direction of school improvement efforts, thus establishing a data-driven decision-making culture (Kelley, 2010). They can assess where there are strengths to be celebrated and weaknesses that need shoring up through targeted professional development.



A study of successful turnarounds found that these schools considered data at three levels: at the school level to focus on areas that needed school-wide improvement, at the classroom level to focus on teachers' instructional strengths and weaknesses, and at the student level to focus on instructional needs of individual students (Herman, et al., 2008). Leadership teams should consider student learning data in the form of test scores that are disaggregated for various groups, including by income level (higher income versus lower income), ethnicity, and student learning needs (e.g., English Language Learners) (Kelly, 2010; Lachat & Smith, 2005). The leadership team should also examine aggregated classroom observation data to show patterns of professional practice across the faculty, and for each grade/subject area.

Von Frank (2011) describes how leadership teams collect data to inform school improvement efforts:

The leadership team is there to discuss strengths and what we, as a school, need to work on...the leadership team takes responsibility for a cycle of continuous improvement. Leadership team members collect data through student performance indicators, classroom observations of teacher practice, and teaching artifacts...and continuously consider the next steps to school-wide improvement...leadership teams [should] conduct learning walks that are separate in term and conduct from any administrative walk-throughs so that the sense of a formal evaluation is not present and teachers are more at ease with the purpose of the walks. (p. 5)

Leadership teams need to consider data from multiple sources to allow for a comprehensive and balanced analysis that leads to effectively targeted use of professional development resources (Learning Forward, n.d.). Professional development can be a huge drain on resources (time and money) if it is not well targeted and implemented effectively; the school's resources should be used for professional development that is directly tied to classroom observations and analysis of student learning data. The Learning Forward Standards for Data Use (n.d.) describe the optimum role of the leadership team's use of data within the cycle of continuous professional learning and school improvement:

At the school level, leadership teams use data to monitor implementation of professional learning and its effects on educator practice and student learning. Engaging teams of teacher leaders and administrators in analyzing and interpreting data, for example, provides them a more holistic view of the complexity of school improvement and fosters collective responsibility and accountability for student results. Frequent collection and use of data about inputs, outputs, and outcomes of professional learning reinforce the cycle of continuous improvement by allowing for ongoing adjustments in the learning process to increase results for students, educators, and systems. Ongoing data collection, analysis, and use, especially when done in teams, provide stakeholders with information that sustains momentum and informs continuous improvement.

Leadership teams may elect to share this data with colleagues school-wide or within professional learning communities through practices such as a "data carousel" in which data are displayed visually and discussed; this process may build support among staff for professional development that is clearly targeted to needs identified through data analysis (Teaching Channel, n.d.).



REFERENCE AND RESOURCES

- DuFour, R. (2011). Work together: But only if you want to. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 92(5), 57–61. <http://www.allthingsplc.info/files/uploads/KapanMagazineRickDuFour2011.pdf>
- Edwards, B., & Gammell, J. (2016, January/February). Building strong school leadership teams to sustain reform. *Leadership*, 20–22. http://www.partnersinschools.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/Building_Strong_School_Leadership_Teams_to_Sustain_Reform.pdf
- Hanover Research. (2013). *Best practices in K-12 leadership structures*. Hanover Research. <http://gssaweb.org/webnew/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/Best-Practices-in-K-12-Leadership-Structures.pdf>.
- Heck, R., & Hallinger, P. (2010). Testing a longitudinal model of distributed leadership effects on school improvement. *Leadership Quarterly*, 21, 867–885.
- Herman, R., Dawson, P., Dee, T., Greene, J., Maynard, R., Redding, S., & Darwin, M. (2008). *Turning Around Chronically Low-Performing Schools: A practice guide* (NCEE #2008-4020). National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/Docs/PracticeGuide/Turnaround_pg_04181.pdf
- Hitt, D. H., & Tucker, P. D. (2016). Systematic review of key leader practices found to influence student achievement: A unified framework. *Review of Educational Research*, 86(2), 531–569. doi: 10.3102/0034654315614911
- Institute for Educational Leadership. (2001). *Leadership for student learning: Redefining the teacher as leader*. Institute for Educational Leadership. <http://iel.org/sites/default/files/Leadership-for-Student-Learning-Series-2-Teacher-04-2001.pdf>
- Kelley, C. (2010). *Advancing student learning through distributed instructional leadership: A toolkit for high school leadership teams*. Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction. https://www.schoolturnaroundsupport.org/sites/default/files/resources/distributed_leadership_toolkit.pdf
- Lachat, M. A., & Smith, S. (2005). Practices that support data use in urban high schools. *Journal of Education for Students Placed At Risk*, 10(3), 333–349. <https://www.bostonpublicschools.org/cms/lib07/MA01906464/Centricity/Domain/142/Practices%20that%20Support%20Data%20Use%20in%20Urban%20High%20School.pdf>
- Learning Forward. (n.d.). *Standards for professional learning: Data*. <https://learningforward.org/standards/data>
- Munger, L., & von Frank, V. (2010). *Change, lead, succeed: Building capacity with school leadership teams*. NSDC.
- Pedersen, J., Yager, S. & Yager, R. (2010). Distributed leadership influence on professional development initiatives: Conversations with eight teachers. *Academic Leadership Online Journal*, 8(3).
- Teaching Channel (Producer). (n.d.). *Data-driven professional development*. [Video file]. <https://www.teachingchannel.org/videos/professional-development-around-data>
- The Wallace Foundation. (2013). *The school principal as leader: Guiding schools to better teaching and learning. Perspective*. The Wallace Foundation. <http://www.wallacefoundation.org/knowledge-center/Documents/The-School-Principal-as-Leader-Guiding-Schools-to-Better-Teaching-and-Learning-2nd-Ed.pdf>
- von Frank, V. (2011). Leadership teams set the course for school improvement. *The Learning Principal*, 7(1). <https://learningforward.org/docs/default-source/pdf/principal-fall-2011-web.pdf>

Resources

This video highlights an example of a school leadership team’s work to use data to identify and implement professional development: <https://www.teachingchannel.org/videos/professional-development-around-data>

Both of these resources contain tools to use to develop and maintain effective school leadership teams:

College of William and Mary Training and Technical Assistance Center. (2011). *Strategies for creating effective school leadership teams: Considerations packet*. <https://education.wm.edu/centers/ttac/documents/packets/strategiesforCreatingEffectiveSchoolLeadershipTeams.pdf>

Kelley, C. (2010). *Advancing student learning through distributed instructional leadership: A toolkit for high school leadership teams*. Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction. https://www.schoolturnaroundsupport.org/sites/default/files/resources/distributed_leadership_toolkit.pdf